

Item name: N95 Mask REF No.44/H/COVID-19 /2020-21

Specifications:

- A. A particulate respirator with filtration efficiency of 94% or more against particulate aerosols > 0.3 um size [Should be supported by certification].
 B. It should be disposable & should allow a good fit.
 C. The nose clip should be adjustable; should allow molding to fit on nose; preferably should have foam on the inner surface to allow good fit at nose.
 D. Ultrasonically/thermal/stapled welded head bands; should be fitted robustly
 E. Should meet BIS FFP2 [IS 9473:2002] or NIOSH 42 CFR 84 N95 (United States) or FFP2 (Europe EN 149-2001) or KN95 (China GB2626-2006) standards [certification by SITRA/NABL accredited appropriate lab; all certifications will be verified]
 F. The respirator should not have any valves
 G. The respirator should have at least one melt-blown layer.

Sr. No.	Register Sr. No.	Name of firm	basic unit Price	GST (%)	GST Amount	Net price	Ranking	Comments of Committee
1	40	M/s Gujraat Cotton Corporation	8.50	5	0.43	8.93	1	Sample provided has Earloops (instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
2	14	M/s Impex India	10.40	5	0.52	10.92	2	Nose clip moulded shape does not stay for long; will not hold form for a prolonged duration, hence Rejected.
3	25	M/s Super plastic Coats Pvt. Ltd.	10.61	5	0.53	11.14	3	Sample provided has Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
4	10	M/s Oliria Foods and Beverages Pvt. Ltd.	10.94	5	0.55	11.49	4	Sample provided has Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
5	23	M/s Albino Lifescience Pvt. Ltd.	11.60	5	0.58	12.18	5	Nose clip is loose. Moulded shape retention is very poor and hence Rejected.
6	2	M/s Sky Lifecare Pvt. Ltd.	11.75	5	0.59	12.34	6	Head band is not fixed robustly; come off easily; safety issue. Hence rejected
7	19	M/s Medicap Healthcare Ltd.	12.91	5	0.65	13.56	7	Sample provided had Earloops (instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
8	17	M/s D.K Power Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	14.20	5	0.71	14.91	8	Head band straps are of poor quality and are coming off while donning, Serious safety issue. Nose clip moulding does not stay long. Hence Rejected.
9	24	M/s Raki Industries India Pvt. Ltd.	14.23	5	0.71	14.94	9	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
10	5	M/s Security System	14.83	5	0.74	15.57	10	Nose clip does not retain its moulded form, leading to slipping of mask with time. Hence Rejected.
11	22	M/s Gowell expo Pvt. Ltd.	15.00	5	0.75	15.75	11	Nose Clip does not retain its moulded form for long. Loose fit. Unacceptable odour. Hence Rejected.

29/9/2020

- A. A Particular respirator with filtration efficiency of 94% or more against particulate aerosols > 0.3 um size [Should be supported by certification].
- B. It should be disposable & should allow a good fit.
- C. The nose clip should be adjustable; should allow molding to fit on nose; preferably should have foam on the inner surface to allow good fit at nose.
- D. Ultrasonically/thermal/stapled welded head bands; should be fitted robustly
- E. Should meet BIS FFP2 [IS 9473:2002] or NIOSH 42 CFR 84 N95 (United States) or FFP2 (Europe EN 149-2001) or KN95 (China GB2626-2006) standards [certification by SITRA/NABL accredited appropriate lab; all certifications will be verified]
- F. The respirator should not have any valves
- G. The respirator should have at least one melt-blown layer.

Sr. No.	Register Sr. No.	Name of firm	basic unit Price	GST (%)	GST Amount	Net price	Ranking	Comments of Committee
12	20	M/s Paramount Surgimed Ltd.	17.46	5	0.87	18.33	12	Retention of nose clip to moulded form is insufficient leading to slipping of mask with time. Hence Rejected.
13	12	M/s Deepali Designs & Exhibits Pvt. Ltd.	17.50	5	0.88	18.38	13	Nose clip is thin and does not allow moulding to be retained. Leads to slipping of mask with time. Hence Rejected.
14	1	M/s VT Health Solution	18.09	5	0.90	18.99	14	Not having good fit. Hence Rejected
15	37	M/s Genetix Biotec Asia Pvt. Ltd.	18.78	5	0.94	19.72	15	Nose clip is very thin. Retention of nose clip to moulded form is insufficient. Hence Rejected.
16	4	M/s Safety Products Pvt. Ltd	19.10	5	0.96	20.06	16	Fit is very loose, head bands are not properly fixed. Nose clip is not retaining the moulded shape. Hence Rejected.
17	11	M/s Shiva Textfabs Ltd.	20.00	5	1.00	21.00	17	Poor fit ; the inner surface near the edges of mask are rough causing discomfort and preventing long wearing during a shift. Hence Rejected.
18	7	1) M/s Vima Exports (Product of Gowell Expo Pvt. Ltd.)	20.40	5	1.02	21.42	18	Head Straps came off easily, Safety issue. Hence Rejected.
19	7	2.) M/s Vima Exports (Product of Security system)	20.40	5	1.02	21.42	18	Head Straps came off easily, Safety issue. Hence Rejected.
20	8	M/s Chinar Forge Limited	20.40	5	1.02	21.42	18	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
21	36	M/s Denim Spa	21.00	5	1.05	22.05	19	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
22	18	M/s Sumeet International	21.40	5	1.07	22.47	20	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
23	26	M/s EZILT Lifescience	21.50	5	1.08	22.58	21	Fit is loose, nose clip does not retain after moulding. Straps have poor elasticity. Hence Rejected.

29/9/2020

- A. A Particular respirator with filtration efficiency of 94% or more against particulate aerosols > 0.3 um size [Should be supported by certification].
- B. It should be disposable & should allow a good fit.
- C. The nose clip should be adjustable; should allow molding to fit on nose; preferably should have foam on the inner surface to allow good fit at nose.
- D. Ultrasonically/thermal/stapled welded head bands; should be fitted robustly
- E. Should meet BIS FFP2 [IS 9473:2002] or NIOSH 42 CFR 84 N95 (United States) or FFP2 (Europe EN 149-2001) or KN95 (China GB2626-2006) standards [certification by SITRA/NABL accredited appropriate lab; all certifications will be verified]
- F. The respirator should not have any valves
- G. The respirator should have at least one melt-blown layer.

Sr. No.	Register Sr. No.	Name of firm	basic unit Price	GST (%)	GST Amount	Net price	Ranking	Comments of Committee
24	27	M/s Aark Pharmaceuticals	21.50	5	1.08	22.58	21	Very loose fit, Headband is also loose. Safety issue. Nose clip is not retaining the moulded form allowing the mask to slip with time. Hence Rejected.
25	3	M/s Paaya Incorporation	23.80	5	1.19	24.99	22	Nose clip is very loose and does not retain form on Moulding. Overall fit is loose; Safety issue. Hence Rejected.
26	6	M/s Jo Pharma Pvt. Ltd.	23.81	5	1.19	25.00	23	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
27	34	M/s Trade All	25.62	5	1.28	26.90	24	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
28	33	M/s Hummus Media	26.05	5	1.30	27.35	25	Nose clip does not retain the moulded shape for long; mask slips with time. Hence Rejected.
29	31	2) M/s Nirmed (DRDE approved 5 layers)	26.78	5	1.34	28.12	26	Head bands are loose, Adjustable band locks are not working well. Hence Rejected.
30	29	M/s Speciality Pharma	28.09	5	1.40	29.49	27	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
31	35	M/s Fashion Flasher (I) Pvt. Ltd	28.65	5	1.43	30.08	28	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
32	31	3) M/s Nirmed (DRDE approved 6 layers)	29.91	5	1.50	31.41	29	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
33	15	M/s Grover Sales Corporation	30.00	5	1.50	31.50	30	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
34	32	M/s Vijay Surgical Corporation	31.96	5	1.60	33.56	31	Short nose clip, does not allow good fit on the nose. Hence Rejected.
35	16	M/s Mediserve	31.95	12	3.83	35.78	32	Technically Accepted.

29/9/2020

- A. A Particular respirator with filtration efficiency of 94% or more against particulate aerosols > 0.3 um size [Should be supported by certification].
- B. It should be disposable & should allow a good fit.
- C. The nose clip should be adjustable; should allow molding to fit on nose; preferably should have foam on the inner surface to allow good fit at nose.
- D. Ultrasonically/thermal/stapled welded head bands; should be fitted robustly
- E. Should meet BIS FFP2 [IS 9473:2002] or NIOSH 42 CFR 84 N95 (United States) or FFP2 (Europe EN 149-2001) or KN95 (China GB2626-2006) standards [certification by SITRA/NABL accredited appropriate lab; all certifications will be verified]
- F. The respirator should not have any valves
- G. The respirator should have at least one melt-blown layer.

Sr. No.	Register Sr. No.	Name of firm	basic unit Price	GST (%)	GST Amount	Net price	Ranking	Comments of Committee
36	21	M/s Joseph Leslie & Co. LLP	35.00	5	1.75	36.75	33	Top edge of seam of the mask above Nose clip is very sharp and hurts the wearer. Cannot be worn for long. Hence Rejected.
37	9	M/s Maa Ambey Enterprises	36.80	5	1.84	38.64	34	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
38	13	1.) M/s Shrianand Poly (PS 302 S)	38.00	5	1.90	39.90	35	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
39	13	2.) M/s Shrianand Poly (PS 402 S)	38.00	5	1.90	39.90	35	Positioning of nose clip is not appropriate; prevents proper fit of the mask. Hence Rejected.
40	39	M/s Nehra Brothers	38.00	5	1.90	39.90	35	Sample provided had Earloops(instead of headbands as per specifications) hence rejected.
41	31	1) M/s Nirrmed (BIS approved)	40.60	5	2.03	42.63	36	Technically Accepted.
42	38	M/s Premier tissue India Ltd.	40.00	12	4.80	44.80	37	Strap is loose, Nose clip is short No Melt Blown layer, Hence Rejected.
43	13	3.) M/s Shrianand Poly (PS 420 SL)	43.00	5	2.15	45.15	38	Inner surface near the Edges of mask are rough preventing long usage. Hence Rejected.
44	28	M/s BTL India Pvt. Ltd.	68.25	5	3.41	71.66	39	Technically Accepted.
45	30	M/s Medi Works	104.75	5	5.24	109.99	40	Nose clip has poor moulding and not retaining form. Hence Rejected
46	41	M/s Sun Internation	28.00	5	1.40	29.40		Sample Not Submitted
47	42	M/s Durgapur Safety gears Pvt. Ltd.	17.10	5	0.86	17.96		Sample Not Submitted
48	43	M/s MMK Surgical works	23.50	5	1.18	24.68		Sample Not Submitted

29/5/2020

- A. A Particular respirator with filtration efficiency of 94% or more against particulate aerosols > 0.3 um size [Should be supported by certification].
- B. It should be disposable & should allow a good fit.
- C. The nose clip should be adjustable; should allow molding to fit on nose; preferably should have foam on the inner surface to allow good fit at nose.
- D. Ultrasonically/thermal/stapled welded head bands; should be fitted robustly
- E. Should meet BIS FFP2 [IS 9473:2002] or NIOSH 42 CFR 84 N95 (United States) or FFP2 (Europe EN 149-2001) or KN95 (China GB2626-2006) standards [certification by SITRA/NABL accredited appropriate lab; all certifications will be verified]
- F. The respirator should not have any valves
- G. The respirator should have at least one melt-blown layer.

<u>Sr. No.</u>	<u>Register Sr. No.</u>	<u>Name of firm</u>	<u>basic unit Price</u>	<u>GST (%)</u>	<u>GST Amount</u>	<u>Net price</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Comments of Committee</u>
49	44	M/s Lilliance Medicare Ltd.	35.00	5	1.75	36.75		Sample Not Submitted
50	45	M/s Mahaveer Poly Pack	0.00	0	0.00	0.00		Price not Quoted

Remarks- L-1 bidder -M/s Mediserve

29/9/2020